Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/751,716	DE MOL VAN OTTERLOO, MAARTEN JOOST
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Steven S. Paik	2876
All Participants: Status of Application:		
(1) <u>Steven S. Paik</u> .	(3)	
(2) Mr. John A Krause (Reg. No. 24,613).	(4)	
Date of Interview: <u>20 October 2006</u> Time: <u>10:30</u>		
Type of Interview: ☑ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)		
Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
n/a		
Claims discussed: 32, 33, and 35		
Prior art documents discussed:		
n/a		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED. See Continuation Sheet		
Part III.		
 ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview 		
did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.		
(Examiner/&PE Signature) (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)		

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The attorney of the record authorized the Examiner's Amendment amending claims 32, 33, and 35.

Re claim 32. insert -- wherein the programmed method is stored in a computer-readable storage medium -- after "23" in line 2.

Re claim 33, insert -- computer-readable -- between "A" and "storage" in line 1.

Re claim 35, insert - - computer-readable - - between "carrying" and "instructions" in line 1...